

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS  
EASTERN DIVISION**

JAMES SULLIVAN  
Reg. #20827-075

PLAINTIFF

v.

2:18cv00128-BSM-JJV

BUREAU OF PRISONS  
FCI-Forest City Low

DEFENDANT

**PROPOSED FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

**INSTRUCTIONS**

The following recommended disposition has been sent to Chief United States District Judge Brian S. Miller. Any party may serve and file written objections to this recommendation. Objections should be specific and should include the factual or legal basis for the objection. If the objection is to a factual finding, specifically identify that finding and the evidence that supports your objection. An original and one copy of your objections must be received in the office of the United States District Court Clerk no later than fourteen (14) days from the date of the findings and recommendations. The copy will be furnished to the opposing party. Failure to file timely objections may result in a waiver of the right to appeal questions of fact.

If you are objecting to the recommendation and also desire to submit new, different, or additional evidence, and to have a new hearing for this purpose before either the District Judge or Magistrate Judge, you must, at the time you file your written objections, include the following:

1. Why the record made before the Magistrate Judge is inadequate.
2. Why the evidence to be proffered at the new hearing (if such a hearing is granted) was not offered at the hearing before the Magistrate Judge.
3. The details of any testimony desired to be introduced at the new hearing in the form

of an offer of proof, and a copy or the original of any documentary or other non-testimonial evidence desired to be introduced at the new hearing.

From this submission, the District Judge will determine the necessity for an additional evidentiary hearing. Mail your objections and "Statement of Necessity" to:

Clerk, United States District Court  
Eastern District of Arkansas  
600 West Capitol Avenue, Suite A149  
Little Rock, AR 72201-3325


**DISPOSITION**

James Sullivan ("Plaintiff") has filed a *pro se* Complaint pursuant to *Bivens v. Six Unknown Federal Narcotics Agents*, 403 U.S. 388 (1971), alleging his constitutional rights have been violated. (Doc. No. 1.) On September 20, 2018, I ordered Plaintiff to either: (1) pay the \$400 statutory filing fee in full; or (2) file an Application to Proceed without Prepayment of Fees and Affidavit, along with a Calculation of Initial Payment of Filing Fee sheet. (Doc. No. 2.) I gave Plaintiff thirty (30) days to comply with my instructions and cautioned him that the case would be dismissed, pursuant to Local Rule 5.5(c)(2), if he failed to timely do so. (*Id.*) Plaintiff has not complied with my Order, and the time to do so has expired.

IT IS, THEREFORE, RECOMMENDED THAT:

1. The Complaint (Doc. No. 1) be DISMISSED without prejudice due to a lack of prosecution.
2. The Court certify, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that an *in forma pauperis* appeal from the Order adopting this recommendation and the accompanying Judgment would not be taken in good faith.

DATED this 22nd day of October, 2018.

  
JOE J. VOLPE  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE